

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,882	MINAKUTI ET AL.
	Examiner Carramah J. Quiett	Art Unit 2622
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION</u>
(1) <u>Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.</u>		(3) _____.
(2) <u>Mark Dodd, Applicant Representative.</u>		(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>5 February 2009</u>		Time: <u>4:50 pm</u>
Type of Interview:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>NONE</i>		
Claims discussed: <i>1, 3, 7, 11-14, 18-20</i>		
Prior art documents discussed: <i>NONE</i>		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<i>/Carramah J. Quiett/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622</i>		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As noted in the Remarks filed 02/13/2009, the Examiner called Mr. Dodd in order to propose an Examiner's Amendment. Mr. Dodd stated that he would contact the Applicant regarding the proposal. If the Applicant agreed, then a Preliminary Amendment would be filed. On 02/13/2009, the Preliminary Amendment was filed. However, the Examiner found a few corrections which required an Examiner's Amendment. Please see the Preliminary Amendment filed on 02/13/2009 and the Examiner's Amendment.